|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | 10/027,800   | SPINELLI ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Kennedy Schaetzle  | 3762   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Applicatio                                       | n:   |
| (1) Kennedy Schaetzle.  | (3)  | •  |
| (2) Suneel Arora.   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: 4 February 2005  | Time: <u>3:00 pm</u>                                       |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican | plicant's representative)                                  |  |
| Part I.   |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A  |  |  |
| Claims discussed: 1 and 30  |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A  |  |  |
| Part II.  |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE<br>Proposed amendment to correct for a §112, 2 <sup>nd</sup> par. errors in<br>the art of record in claim 30 agreed to. See Examiner's Ame   | n claim 1 and to include subject                           | ·  |
| Part III.   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>  | The examiner will provide a<br>ate record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
|   |  |  |
| (Everyings/SDE Signature) /A==1:  | cont/Applicant's Passace                                   | tivo Signaturo di appropriato)   |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli   | canivapplicants Representa                                 | tive Signature – if appropriate)   |